

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Geospatial Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 05:46:28 PM

1. Department or Agency

Department of the Interior

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Geospatial Advisory Committee

3b. GSA Committee No.

34559

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

02/08/2018

6. Expected Renewal Date

02/08/2020

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Agency

13. Effective Date

01/28/2008

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open 4 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 4 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NGAC Public Webinar Meeting	12/11/2017	- 12/11/2017
NGAC Public Meeting	04/03/2018	- 04/04/2018
NGAC Public Meeting	06/26/2018	- 06/27/2018
NGAC Public Meeting	09/05/2018	- 09/06/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 4

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$212,000.00	\$212,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$113,000.00	\$115,000.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$66,500.00	\$81,000.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$9,200.00	\$9,000.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$4,200.00	\$4,000.00

18d. Total	\$424,900.00	\$441,000.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.30	1.30

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Federal geospatial programs are highly dependent upon partnerships and coordination with external groups, including State, local and Tribal governments, and non-profit and private sector partners. The NGAC plays an important role in providing a forum to convey views representative of non-federal partners in the geospatial community and reviewing and commenting upon geospatial policy and management issues.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

FGDC has worked to ensure a balanced committee membership that reflects the variety of viewpoints within the geospatial community. The Committee is composed of up to 30 representatives or special Government employees, who are selected to generally achieve a balanced representation of the viewpoints of the various stakeholders involved in national geospatial activities. Selection of Committee members includes consideration of geographic balance. The Committee membership includes balanced representation from among, but not limited to, the following stakeholder groups involved in the geospatial community: - Federal Government - State government - County government - Regional government - City/Municipal government - Tribal government - Private sector - User industries - Professional associations - Non-profit organizations - Academia

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The NGAC meets 3-4 times per year. In FY 2018, the NGAC held one web-based meeting and three in-person meetings. The meetings have been well-attended, substantive, and productive.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

Federal geospatial programs are highly dependent upon partnerships and coordination with external groups, including State, local and Tribal governments, and non-profit and private sector partners. The NGAC plays an important role in providing a forum to convey views representative of non-federal partners in the geospatial community and reviewing and commenting upon geospatial policy and management issues.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

N/A

21. Remarks

N/A

Designated Federal Officer

Ivan DeLoatch Executive Director, Federal Geographic Data Committee

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Alexander, David	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Department of Homeland Security	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Avila, Frank	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Battersby, Sarah	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Tableau Software	Representative Member
Brooks, Talbot	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Delta State University	Representative Member
Clarke, George	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	State of New Mexico	Representative Member
Couch, Garet	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	National Tribal Geospatial Information Support Center	Representative Member
Cummings, Patricia	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Environmental Systems Research Institute	Representative Member
Davis, Stuart	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	State of Ohio	Representative Member
Gentile, Matthew	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Deloitte Financial Advisory Services, LLP	Representative Member
Harjo, Frank	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Muscogee (Creek) Nation	Representative Member
Irias, Xavier	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	East Bay Municipal Utility District	Representative Member
Lenczowski, Roberta	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Roberta E. Lenczowski Consulting	Representative Member
Masback, Keith	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	U.S. Geospatial Intelligence Foundation	Representative Member
Mitchell, Roger	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	MDA Information Systems, Inc.	Representative Member
Moore, Rebecca	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Google, Inc.	Representative Member
Pomfret, Kevin	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	The Centre for Spatial Law and Policy	Representative Member
Ramasubramanian, Laxmi	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Hunter College, City University of New York	Representative Member
Reddell, William	01/03/2017	11/30/2017	New Hampshire National Guard	Representative Member
Reed, Carl	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	Carl Reed and Associates, LLC	Representative Member
Reynolds, Amber	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	City of Topeka, KS	Representative Member
Richardson, Douglas	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	American Association of Geographers	Representative Member
Smith, Cy	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	State of Oregon	Representative Member
Somers, Rebecca	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Somers-St. Claire GIS Management Consultants	Representative Member
Stapp, Jennie	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	State of Montana	Representative Member
Sweetkind-Singer, Julie	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Stanford University	Representative Member
Thorleifson, Harvey	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Minnesota Geological Survey	Representative Member
Warzinik, Jason	01/03/2017	12/31/2019	Boone County, Missouri	Representative Member
Yuan, May	02/18/2016	12/31/2018	University of Texas – Dallas	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 28

Narrative Description

The Committee supports FGDC agencies' missions by providing advice and recommendations related to management of Federal and national geospatial programs, the development of the National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI), and the implementation of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-16 and Executive Order 12906. The Committee reviews and comments upon geospatial policy and management issues and provides a forum to convey views representative of non-Federal stakeholders in the geospatial community.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Outcome Comments

A key outcome enabled by the NGAC is the effective interagency and intergovernmental coordination of national geospatial policy issues.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unable to Determine	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Under \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$100,000 - \$500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Over \$10,000,000	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cost Savings Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Cost Savings Comments

The NGAC primarily provides policy advice on the effective management and coordination of national geospatial programs.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

72

Number of Recommendations Comments

72 total recommendations (2 recommendations in FY 2008, 7 recommendations in FY

2009, 7 recommendations in FY 2010, 5 recommendations in FY 2011, 8 recommendations in FY 2012, 7 recommendations in in FY 2013, 7 recommendations in FY 2014, 9 recommendations in FY 2015, 5 recommendations in FY 2016, 5 recommendations in FY 2017, and 10 recommendations in FY 2018). In addition to formal recommendations, the NGAC has also produced a set of white papers and research papers, and has provided feedback and comment on Federal geospatial initiatives and programs.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

32%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

Approximately 33% of NGAC recommendations (24 of 72) have been, or are expected to be fully implemented.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

67%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Approximately 67% of NGAC recommendations (48 of 72) have been, or are expected to be partially implemented.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Feedback on recommendations is provided at subsequent meetings of the committee. The FGDC also provides written feedback on NGAC recommendations.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>

Approved grants or other payments

☐

Other

☒

Action Comments

NGAC recommendations have been utilized to help shape and manage relevant agency programs and initiatives. In addition to formal recommendations, the NGAC has also produced a set of white papers and research papers, and has provided feedback and comment on Federal geospatial initiatives and programs.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

Not Applicable

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO

☒

Online Agency Web Site

☒

Online Committee Web Site

☒

Online GSA FACA Web Site

☒

Publications

☐

Other

☒

Access Comments

Committee documents are posted on the NGAC website (www.fgdc.gov/ngac). In addition, FGDC representatives provide information about committee activities at geospatial industry meetings, conferences, etc.